### 1985

## $\underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{X}$

### <u>A</u>

	-
Antelope Creek Snagging and Cleari of Request from Mercer County for Cost Sharing; SWC Approv	Water Resource District
Argusville, City of - Consideration for Cost Sharing in Flood Con- SWC Approval of \$45,000	n of Request from City trol Project;
	<u>B</u>
Beaver Creek Flood Control Dam - Confrom Steele County Water Resonanting in Proposed Dam;	onsideration of Request urce District for Cost
Action on Cost Sharing Reques	t Deferred by SWC 48
SWC Reconsideration of Cost SI and Approval of \$447,500	haring Request
Big Coulee Dam - Consideration of P Dakota Health Department for C Improvement of Water Quality C	Cost Sharing in
SWC Approval of \$3,918.50 Thro	ough Contract Fund 39
Consideration and SWC Approvation Fees and Pay \$76,332 in Contraction of Bisbee	act Fund Directly to
orey or brabee	73
	<u>c</u>
Cass County Drain No. 10 - Consider Southeast Cass Water Resource Sharing in Improvement of Port Miles of Drain; SWC Approval	District for Cost tion of Lower Two
Cass County Drain No. 16 - Consider from North Cass Water Resource Cost Sharing; SWC Approval of	District for
Cass County Drain No. 42 - Consider North Cass Water Resource Dist Sharing; SWC Approval of \$18,	rict for Cost

### $\underline{\mathsf{C}}$ (Continued)

Cass County Drain No. 30 - Consideration of Request from Rush River Water Resource District for Cost Sharing:	
SWC Approval of Reconstruction Phase of \$19,004	47
SWC Approval of Extension Phase of \$8,400	47
Cheney Creek, Yellowstone River Basin Bank Stabilization Erosion Problems	52
Crystal Lake Drain No. 6 - Briefing Regarding Development of Water Management Plan to Satisfy Drainage Problems in Wells County	38
<u>D</u>	
Drainage, General Policy	38, 46
Dwyer, Michael - Discussion and Approval of Entering into Contract for Services Relating to Water Services	78
Services	70
<u>E</u>	
Easby Township Drain No. 2 - Consideration of Request from Cavalier County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing; SWC Approval of \$6,360	24
Eaton Flood Irrigation Project - Consideration of Request from McHenry County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing;	
SWC Approval of \$12,000	50
SWC Approval of Additional \$9,945	62
Edgeley, City of - Consideration of Request from City for Cost Sharing in Floodplain Management Study; SWC Approval of \$1,280	36
Enderlin, City of - Consideration of Request for Additional Financial Assistance for Flood Control Project to Meet Non-Federal Costs of Project;	
SWC Approval of Additional \$39,000	8
<u>F</u>	
Fargo, City of - Consideration of Request from City for	
Financial Assistance in Costs of Floodplain Management Study; SWC Approval of \$7,350	9

## $\underline{F}$ (Continued)

Fordville Area Water Problems - Discussion and Status Report	79
Fox Island (Burleigh County) - Consideration and SWC Approval of Request from Bismarck/Mandan Missouri River Improvement Association for Continued Cooperation from SWC Relative to Corps of Engineers Proposal to Acquire Additional Land to Reduce or Eliminate Flooding	39
<u>G</u>	
Garrison Diversion Project:	
Status Reports	12, 37, 46, 78
Inter-Basin Biota Transfer Study Program	76
Grand Forks County Drain No. 4 - Consideration of Request from Grand Forks County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing in Construction of RC&D Flood Control Project; SWC Approval of \$20,240	34
<u>н</u>	
<u>I</u>	
Inter-Basin Biota Transfer Study Program:	
Status Reports	76
SWC Approval of Motion that SWC Accept Responsibility of Organizing, Coordinating and Directing Study	77
<u>J</u>	
<u>K</u>	
<u>L</u>	
Larson Lake (Regent Dam) - Consideration of Request from Hettinger County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing; SWC Approval of \$22,500	62

Maple River (Proposed Site A Control Dry Dam):	A-170 Main Stem Flood
and Request for Federa	tion No. 85-6-423 for Support I Funding for the Construction m Flood Control Dry Dam on 36, 41
Status Reports	69
Maple River Dam No. T-180 - from Maple River Water Additional Cost Sharing SWC Approval of Additio	Consideration of Request Resource District for g in Construction of Dam; onal Funds of \$56,000 29
Manilla Township Drain No. 2 from Cavalier County Wa Cost Sharing; SWC App	2 - Consideration of Request ater Resource District for roval of \$2,425 25
McLean (Southern) and Sherio Water Supply Project:	dan (Western) Counties
Status Reports	48, 70
for Funding for Project	Proceed to Prepare Request t from Resources Trust Fund ession 70
Meetings, 1985:	
March 15, 1985	Bismarck, North Dakota
March 25, 1985	Bismarck, North Dakota (Telephone Conference Call)
June 6, 1985	Bismarck, North Dakota
September 5, 1985	Bismarck, North Dakota
November 8, 1985	Bismarck, North Dakota
December 13, 1985	Fargo, North Dakota
Minutes of Meetings:	
December 5, 1984	Considered and Approved 1
March 15, 1985	Considered and Approved 20
March 25, 1985	Considered and Approved 21
June 6, 1985	Considered and Approved 42
September 5, 1985	Considered and Approved 54
November 8, 1985	Considered and Approved 61

### $\underline{M}$ (Continued)

Miller Drain No. 29 - Consideration of Request from Traill County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing in Repair of Drop Structure on Drain; SWC Approval of \$23,672	32			
Missouri River - Hudson Bay Inter-Basin Biota Transfer Study, Chaired by Commissioner William Guy	76			
Morrison Lake - Consideration of Request from Ramsey County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing in Construction of Control Structure and Outlet for Lake (Phases I and II); SWC Approval of \$72,800	26			
Mulberry Creek Drain - Consideration of Request from Cavalier County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing in Construction of Phase III of Drain; SWC Approval of \$17,779	25			
<u>N</u>				
<u>0</u>				
<u>P</u>				
<u>Q</u>				
<u>R</u>				
Ransom County Critical Area Treatment Project - Consideration of Request from Ransom County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing; SWC Approval of \$5,380	34			
Red River Diking:				
1) Status Reports	2, 56,		37,	52,
2) Consideration of Cooperative Agreement for Corrective Plan for Agricultural Leeves on Red River	2,	13,	14	
Action Deferred; to be Considered During Telephone Conference Call March 25, 1985	5			

### $\underline{R}$ (Continued)

Red River Diking (Continued):

	3)	to Entering Formulation	of Resolution No. 85-3-422 Relative into Cooperative Agreement for the of a Corrective Plan for Agricultural en River Mile 236 and 287 of the		
		Red River of		17,	19
	4)	Findings of 1	Gechnical Committee	56,	60
	5)	Selection of by Technical	Arbitrator for Finding _ (Unresolved Committee)	57	
Reger	Hett	inger County	ke) - Consideration of Request from Water Resource District for Cost proval of \$22,500	62	
Resol	lutio	ons:			
	85-3	3-422	Resolution Relative to Entering into Cooperative Agreement for the Formulation of a Cooperative Plan for Agricultural Levees Between River Mile 236 and 287 of the Red River of the North	19	
	85-6	-423	Resolution of Support for Federal Funding for the Construction of Site A-170 Main Stem Flood Control Dry Dam on the Maple River	41	
	85-9	-424	Resolution of Appreciation to Previous State Water Commission Members	53	
	Cons Reso	ideration of urce District	No. 12 (Lateral No. 1) - Request from Richland County Water for Cost Sharing in Lateral No. 1 proval of \$22,902	28	
	from	Richland Cou	No. 72 - Consideration of Request unty Water Resource District for Phase III of Drain; 550,123	28	
	from	City of Rugb	roject - Consideration of Request by for Cost Sharing;		
			red	64	
Rush	Lake	Study, Statu	ıs Report	45	

	<u>s</u>			
Sherida Su	n (Western) and McLean (Southern) Counties Water pply Project:			
Sta	atus Reports	48		
Sheyenn	e River Flood Control Project:			
1)	Status Reports	5,	69	
2)	Request for State Water Commission to Coordinate Efforts of Local Beneficiaries to Satisfy Requirements of Corps of Engineers for Meeting Non-Federal Cost Sharing of Project	5		
3)	State Water Commission Approval to Proceed with Arrangements to Coordinate Agreements with Local Entities for Total Assumption of Non-Federal Obligations for Project	8		
Souris F	River Flood Control Project:			
Sta	atus Reports	45,	72	
Owr Wit	akota - Initiation of Lawsuit to Determine nership of Waters of Missouri River Contained thin that State's Boundaries			
Southeas of Sna	C Support of Intervention in Lawsuitst Cass Water Resource District - Consideration Request from District for Cost Sharing on agging and Clearing Project within County; C Approval of \$23,965	10		
for SWC	eart, City of - Consideration of Request from City r Cost Sharing in Flood Control Project; C Approval of Cost Sharing in Eligible Items (no specific amount stated at this meeting)	51		
Southwes	st Pipeline Project:			
1)	Status Reports	11, 58,		43
2)	Corps of Engineers Proposal for Water Storage Charge	22,	58	
3)	Procedure and Selection of Engineering Firm for Construction Phase of Project	22,	40	
4)				

# $\underline{S}$ (Continued)

Southwes	t Pipeline Project: (Continued)	
5)	SWC Approval that Negotiations Begin with Basin Electric to Secure Permits, Easements and Agreements for Joint Use of Basin Electric Intake Structure	74
Mor Sha	ony, City of - Consideration of Request from ton County Water Resource District for Cost ring in Flood Control Project; Approval of \$5,088	23
State Wa	ter Commission:	
1)	Professional Staff Concerns	12
2)	Summary of Contract Fund Expenditures on County and Project Basis from July, 1977 - April, 1985	23
3)	Consulting Engineering Fees	43, 79
4)	Resolution of Appreciation to Previous State Water Commission Members, Resolution No. 85-9-424	51
5)	Funding for Possible Monthly SWC Meetings	59
6)	Authority for State Engineer to Expend up to \$10,000 for Small Contracts, Emergencies and Cost Overruns	78
	<u>T</u>	
Tra Cos	ounty Drain No. 19 - Consideration of Request from ill County Water Resource District for Additional t Sharing in Repair of Drain; Approval of \$24,060	33
	<u>u</u>	
Cha	tates Army Corps of Engineers - Proposal to rge for Water from Lake Sakakawea (Discussed h Southwest Pipeline Project)	22

West River Water Supply District - Appointment of Commissioner William Lardy as Member of District	59
Wyard Dam - Consideration of Request from Foster County Water Resource District for Cost Sharing in Repairs of Dam; SWC Approval of Cost Sharing in Eligible Items	
(No Specific Amount Designated)	51
<u>X</u>	
<u>Y</u>	
Yellowstone River Basin Bank Stabilization, Erosion Problem (Cheney Creek)	52